

FIXED POINT IN 2-METRIC SPACE FOR NON CONTINUOUS MAP

Sanjay Kumar Tiwari and Rekha Kumari
P.G. Department of Mathematics, M.U., Bodhgaya

Abstract: In this paper we have established fixed point theorem in 2-metric space which generalizes many previous results.

Key Words: Fixed point theorem, 2-metric space, Euclidean space, Rational expression.

1. Introduction:

After the introduction of concept of 2-metric space by Gahler [3] many authors [1] [2] [3] etc. establishes an analogue of Banach. Contraction principle in 2-metric space. First Kannan [6] and later on Jaggi and Das [5] established the fixed point theorem for non-continuous maps in metric space.

In this paper we have extended this idea to 2-metric space in more general form by increasing the number of terms in R.H.S.

2. Preliminaries:

Now we give some basic definitions and well known results that are needed in the sequel.

Definition (2.1): [3] let X be an non empty set and $d: X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$.

If for all x, y, z and u in X , we have

(d₁) $d(x, y, z) = 0$ if at least two of x, y, z are equal.

(d₂) for all $x \neq y$ there exist a point z in X st. $d(x, y, z) \neq 0$.

(d₃) $d(x, y, z) = d(x, z, y) = d(y, z, x) = \dots$ and so on.

(d₄) $d(x, y, z) \leq d(x, y, u) + d(x, u, z) + d(u, y, z)$

Then d is called a 2-metric on X and the pair (X, d) is called a 2-metric space.

Definition (2.2): A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in a 2-metric space (X, d) is said to be a Cauchy sequence if

$$\lim_{\substack{n \rightarrow \infty \\ m \rightarrow \infty}} d(x_n, x_m, a) = 0 \text{ for all } a \in X.$$

Definition (2.3): A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in a 2-metric space (X, d) is said to be a convergent if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x, a) = 0 \text{ for all } a \in X. \text{ The point } x \text{ is called the limit of the sequence.}$$

Definition (2.4): A 2-metric space (X, d) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence is X in convergent.

3. Main Result:

Theorem 3.1: Let (X, d) be a complete 2-metric space and Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ satisfying.

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx, Ty, a) &\leq \alpha \frac{d(x, Tx, a)[1 + d(y, Ty, a)]}{1 + d(Tx, Ty, a)} + \beta \frac{d(x, Tx, a)[1 + d(x, Tx, a)]}{1 + d(x, y, a)} \\ &\quad + \gamma \frac{d(y, Ty, a)[1 + d(x, Tx, a)]}{1 + d(x, y, a)} + \delta d(x, y, a) \dots \dots \dots (3.1.1) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, a \in X$ and $d(Tx, Ty, a) \neq 0$, $d(x, y, a) \neq 0$. Also $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \geq 0$ and $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta < 1$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof: Let for any arbitrary point $x_0 \in X, \{x_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence defined as:

$$x_0, x_1 = Tx_0 : x_2 = Tx_1, \dots, x_n = Tx_{n-1}, x_{n+1} = Tx_n, \dots$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_1, x_2, a) &= d(Tx_0, Tx_1, a) \\
 &\leq \frac{\alpha d(x_0, Tx_0, a)[1 + d(x_1, Tx_1, a)]}{[1 + d(Tx_0, Tx_1, a)]} + \frac{\beta d(x_0, Tx_0, a)[1 + d(x_0, Tx_0, a)]}{[1 + d(x_0, x_1, a)]} \\
 &\quad + \frac{\gamma d(x_1, Tx_1, a)[1 + d(x_0, Tx_0, a)]}{[1 + d(x_0, x_1, a)]} + \delta d(x_0, x, a) \\
 &= \frac{\alpha d(x_0, x_1, a)[1 + d(x_1, x_2, a)]}{[1 + d(x_1, x_2, a)]} + \\
 &\quad + \frac{\beta d(x_0, x_1, a)[1 + d(x_0, x_1, a)]}{[1 + d(x_0, x_1, a)]} + \frac{\gamma d(x_1, x_2, a)[1 + d(x_0, x_1, a)]}{[1 + d(x_0, x_1, a)]} \\
 &\quad + \delta d(x_0, x_1, a). \\
 &= \alpha d(x_0, x, a) + \beta d(x_0, x_1, a) + \gamma d(x_1, x_2, a) + \delta d(x_0, x_1, a)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(1 - \gamma)d(x_1, x_2, a) \leq (\alpha + \beta + \delta)d(x_0, x_1, a)$$

$$\text{or, } d(x_1, x_2, a) \leq \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta + \delta}{1 - \gamma} \right) d(x_0, x_1, a)$$

$$\text{Again, } d(x_2, x_3, a) = d(Tx_1, Tx_2, a)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \alpha \frac{d(x_1, Tx_1, a)[1 + d(x_2, Tx_2, a)]}{[1 + d(Tx_1, Tx_2, a)]} \\
 &\quad + \beta \frac{d(x_1, Tx_1, a)[1 + d(x_1, Tx_1, a)]}{[1 + d(x_1, x_2, a)]} \\
 &\quad + \gamma \frac{d(x_2, Tx_2, a)[1 + d(x_1, Tx_1, a)]}{[1 + d(x_1, x_2, a)]} + \delta d(x_1, x_2, a) \\
 &= \alpha \frac{d(x_1, x_2, a)[1 + d(x_2, x_3, a)]}{[1 + d(x_2, x_3, a)]} + \beta \frac{d(x_1, x_2, a)[1 + d(x_1, x_2, a)]}{[1 + d(x_1, x_2, a)]} \\
 &\quad + \gamma \frac{d(x_2, x_3, a)[1 + d(x_1, x_2, a)]}{[1 + d(x_1, x_2, a)]} + \delta d(x_1, x_2, a)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{or } (1 - \gamma)d(x_2, x_3, a) \leq (\alpha + \beta + \delta)d(x_1, x_2, a)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{or } d(x_2, x_3, a) &\leq \frac{(\alpha + \beta + \delta)}{1 - \gamma} d(x_1, x_2, a) \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta + \delta}{1 - \gamma} \right)^2 d(x_1, x_2, a)
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) &\leq \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta + \delta}{1 - \gamma} \right)^n d(x_0, x_1, a) \\
 &= K^n d(x_0, x_1, a), \text{ where } K = \frac{\alpha + \beta + \delta}{1 - \gamma} \quad - \quad (3.2.2)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Now we claim that } d(x_0, x_1, x_n) = 0 \text{ for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad - \quad (3.2.3)$$

We observe that this is true for $n=0$ and $n=1$. Suppose that it is true for $2 \leq n \leq m$. Using triangle in equality we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq d(x_0, x_1, x_{m+1}) \leq d(x_0, x_1, x_m) + d(x_0, x_m, x_{m+1}) + d(x_m, x_1, x_{m+1}) \\ &\leq \kappa^m [d(x_0, x_0, x_1) + d(x_0, x_1, x_1)] \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since $[d(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p}) \leq k^n d(x_0, x_1, x_{n+p})]$ it follows from (2.2.3) that $d(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p}) = 0$ for all non-negative integers m and n .

We now show that $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence. For arbitrary $a \in X$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, x_{n+p}, a) &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p}) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}, a) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+p}) \\ &\quad + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, a) + \dots \\ &\quad + d(x_{n+p-2}, x_{n+p-1}, x_{n+p}) + d(x_{n+p-1}, x_{n+p}, a) \\ &= [k^n + k^{n+1} + \dots + k^{n+p-1}] d(x_0, x_1, a) \\ &= \left(\frac{k^n}{1-k} \right) d(x_0, x_1, a) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ since } K < 1. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since X is complete. Therefore there exists a point u in X s.t.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = u \text{ Now we shall prove that } Tu = u$$

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, Tu, a) &\leq d(u, Tu, x_n) + d(u, x_n, a) + d(x_n, Tu, a) \\ &= d(u, Tu, x_n) + d(u, x_n, a) + d(Tx_{n-1}, Tu, a) \\ &\leq d(u, Tu, x_n) + d(u, x_{2n}, a) + \alpha \frac{d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}, a)[1 + d(u, Tu, a)]}{1 + d(Tx_{n-1}, Tu, a)} \\ &\quad + \beta \frac{d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}, a)[1 + d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}, a)]}{1 + d(x_{n-1}, u, a)} \\ &\quad + \gamma \frac{d(u, Tu, a)[1 + d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}, a)]}{1 + d(x_{n-1}, u, a)} + \delta d(x_{n-1}, u, a) \\ &= d(u, Tu, x_n) + d(u, x_n, a) + \\ &\quad \frac{\alpha d(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)[1 + d(u, Tu, a)]}{1 + d(x_n, Tu, a)} + \frac{\beta d(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)[1 + d(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)]}{1 + d(x_{n-1}, Tu, a)} \\ &\quad \frac{\gamma d(u, Tu, a)[1 + d(x_{n-1}, x_n, a)]}{1 + d(x_{n-1}, u, a)} + \delta d(x_{n-1}, u, a) \end{aligned}$$

When $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$d(u, Tu, a) \leq \gamma d(u, Tu, a)$$

or $(1 - \gamma)d(u, Tu, a) \leq 0$, which implies that $d(u, Tu, a) = 0$ i.e. $Tu = u$.

Now we shall show that u is a unique fixed point of T . If not, possible let $v \neq u$ be another fixed point such that $Tv = v$

$$d(u, v, a) = d(Tu, Tv, a)$$

$$\leq \frac{d(u,Tu,a)[1+d(v,Tv,a)]}{1+d(Tu,Tv,a)} + \frac{\beta d(u,Tu,a)[1+d(u,Tu,a)]}{1+d(u,v,a)} \\ + \frac{\gamma d(v,Tv,a)[1+d(u,Tu,a)]}{1+d(u,v,a)} + \delta d(u,v,a)$$

Or, $d(u,v,a) \leq \delta d(u,v,a)$

Or, $(1-\delta)d(u,v,a) \leq 0$, which implies that $d(u,v,a) = 0$ i.e. $u=v$

Thus u is a unique fixed point of T .

Corollary (3.2): Let T^p be sequence of mapping on a complete 2-metric space X into itself satisfying.

$$d(T^p x, T^p y, a) \leq \alpha \frac{d(x, T^p x, a)[1+d(y, T^p y, a)]}{1+d(T^p x, T^p y, a)} + \beta \frac{d(x, T^p x, a)[1+d(x, T^p x, a)]}{1+d(x, y, a)} \\ + \gamma \frac{d(y, T^p y, a)[1+d(x, T^p x, a)]}{1+d(x, y, a)} + \delta d(x, y, a)$$

for all x, y, a in X . where $d(Tx, Ty, a) \neq 0$ and $d(x, y, a) \neq 0$. Also $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \geq 0$ where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta < 1$ and p is a positive integer.

Example (3.3): Let (X, d) be a 2-metric space defined as follow:

$$d(x, y, z) = \min\{|x-y|, |y-z|, |z-x|\}$$

Now let $X=[0,1]$ with the 2-metric defined as above.

Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ defined as:

$$Tx = \begin{cases} x/4 : x \in [0, 1/2) \\ x/5 : x \in [1/2, 1) \end{cases}$$

Now taking $\alpha = 1/2, \beta = 1/3, \gamma = 1/5, \delta = 1/7$ and $a=1, x=1/3, y=1/2$

Then we have

$$d(Tx, Ty, 1) = d(1/12, 1/6, 1) = \min\left\{\left|\frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{6}\right|, \left|\frac{1}{6} - 1\right|, \left|1 - \frac{1}{12}\right|\right\} = \min\left\{\frac{1}{12}, \frac{5}{6}, \frac{11}{12}\right\} = \frac{1}{12} \approx 0.083\bar{3}$$

$$\text{Now, } d(x, Tx, 1) = d\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{12}, 1\right) = \min\left\{\left|\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{12}\right|, \left|\frac{1}{12} - 1\right|, \left|1 - \frac{1}{3}\right|\right\} = \min\left\{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{11}{12}, \frac{2}{3}\right\} = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$d(y, Ty, 1) = d\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{10}, 1\right) = \min\left\{\left|\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{10}\right|, \left|\frac{1}{10} - 1\right|, \left|1 - \frac{1}{2}\right|\right\} = \min\left\{\frac{2}{5}, \frac{9}{10}, \frac{1}{2}\right\} = \frac{2}{5}$$

$$d(x, y, 1) = d\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, 1\right) = \min\left\{\left|\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2}\right|, \left|\frac{1}{2} - 1\right|, \left|1 - \frac{1}{3}\right|\right\} = \min\left\{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}\right\} = \frac{1}{6}$$

Now,

$$\left\{\alpha \frac{d(x, Tx, 1)[1+d(x, Tx, 1)]}{1+d(Tx, Ty, 1)} + \beta \frac{d(x, Tx, 1)[1+d(x, Tx, 1)]}{1+d(x, y, 1)} + \gamma \frac{d(y, Ty, 1)[1+d(x, Tx, 1)]}{1+d(x, y, 1)} + \delta d(x, y, 1)\right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left[\frac{\frac{1}{4} \left(1 + \frac{2}{5}\right)}{1 + \frac{1}{12}} \right] + \frac{1}{3} \left[\frac{\frac{1}{4} \left(1 + \frac{1}{4}\right)}{1 + \frac{1}{6}} \right] + \frac{1}{5} \left[\frac{\frac{2}{5} \left(1 + \frac{1}{4}\right)}{1 + \frac{1}{6}} \right] + \frac{1}{7} \times \frac{1}{6} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{21}{130}, \frac{5}{56}, \frac{3}{35}, \frac{1}{42} \right\} \approx 0.360348$$

Again if we put $a=0$, then also we have,

$$d(Tx, Ty, 0) = d\left(\frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{6}, 0\right) = \min\left(\left|\frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{6}\right|, \left|\frac{1}{6} - 0\right|, \left|0 - \frac{1}{12}\right|\right) = \min\left\{\frac{1}{12}, \frac{5}{6}, \frac{1}{12}\right\} = \frac{1}{12} = 0.083\bar{3}$$

Now,

$$d(x, Tx, 0) = d\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{12}, 0\right) = \min\left(\left|\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{12}\right|, \left|\frac{1}{12} - 0\right|, \left|0 - \frac{1}{3}\right|\right) = \min\left\{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{3}\right\} = \frac{1}{12}$$

$$d(y, Ty, 0) = d\left(\frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{6}, 0\right) = \min\left(\left|\frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{6}\right|, \left|\frac{1}{6} - 0\right|, \left|0 - \frac{1}{12}\right|\right) = \min\left\{\frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{12}\right\} = \frac{1}{12}$$

$$d(x, y, 0) = d\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, 0\right) = \min\left\{\left|\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2}\right|, \left|\frac{1}{2} - 0\right|, \left|0 - \frac{1}{3}\right|\right\} = \min\left\{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}\right\} = \frac{1}{6}$$

$$R.H.S. = \left\{\frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\frac{1}{12} \left[1 + \frac{1}{12}\right]}{1 + \frac{1}{12}} \right] + \frac{1}{3} \left[\frac{\frac{1}{12} \left[1 + \frac{1}{12}\right]}{1 + \frac{1}{6}} \right] + \frac{1}{5} \left[\frac{\frac{1}{12} \left[1 + \frac{1}{12}\right]}{1 + \frac{1}{6}} \right] + \frac{1}{7} \times \frac{1}{6} \right\}$$

$$\approx 0.102467$$

Thus we see that the condition of our theorem is satisfied with 0 (zero) as the only fixed point while T is not contraction (being discontinuous).

References:

- [1]. Chaudhary A: Som T.; Some fixed point results in 2-metric spaces, American Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 2012, 216, 213-216
- [2]. Dey D., Saha M.; Common fixed point theorems in a complete 2-metric space, Acta Univ. Palacki, Olomus, Fac. rer. nat. Mathematica 52, 1 (2013) 79-87.
- [3]. Gähler, S: 2-metrische Räume und ihre topologische structure. Math. Nach. 26, 115-148 (1963).
- [4]. Iseki, K.: Fixed point theorems in 2-metric space, Mathematics Seminar Notes 3 133-136 (1975).
- [5]. Jaggi D.S. and Das B.K.: An extension of Banach's fixed point theorem through rational expression, Bull. Cal. Math Soc. Vol. 72 (1980) 261-262.
- [6]. Kannan S.: Some Results on fixed point, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. (68) (1968)
- [7]. Lal S.N. and Singh A.K.: An analogue of Banach's Contraction Principle for 2-metric space. Bull. Austral. Math Soc. Vol. 18 (1978) 137-143.
- [8]. Rani A., Kumar S., Kumar N., Garg S.K.: Common fixed point theorems for compatible and weakly compatible maps in 2-metric space, Applied Mathematics 2012, 3, 1128-1134.
- [9]. Srivastava M. and Ghosh S.C.: Fixed point theorem in 2-metric space. Acta Ciencia Indica, Vol. XXXM, 3, 535 (2004).